

OAKLAND POLICE DEPARTMENT

Office of Inspector General



AUDIT OF TASK 34
Vehicle Stops, Field Investigations, and Detentions

December 8, 2010

CITY OF OAKLAND

Memorandum

To: Chief Anthony W. Batts
From: Captain Anthony Toribio, Inspector General
Date: December 8, 2010
Subject: **Audit of Task 34**

On October 8, 2010, the Audit and Inspections Unit of the Office of Inspector General (OIG) initiated an audit of Task 34 - Vehicle Stops, Field Investigations, and Detentions. Task 34 requires that stop data forms are filled out for every vehicle stop, field investigation, and detention; the forms capture the required information; the data captured on the stop data forms are entered completely and accurately into a database; and OPD has a stop data database that can be summarized, searched, queried, and reported by authorized OPD personnel. The purpose of the audit was to assess the Department's progress towards achieving compliance with its policy and the requirements of Task 34 as set forth in the Negotiated Settlement Agreement (NSA).



Anthony Toribio
Captain of Police
Office of Inspector General

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL
Audit and Inspections Unit



LEAD REVIEWER
Sergeant Joseph Carranza

CONTRIBUTORS
Ms. Kristin Burgess

Table of Contents

PURPOSE	5
BACKGROUND.....	5
NEGOTIATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIREMENTS	5
AUDIT OBJECTIVES	6
METHODOLOGY	6
FINDINGS.....	9
RECOMENDATIONS	13
CONCLUSION.....	13

PURPOSE

The purpose of this audit is to determine if the Oakland Police Department (OPD) is adhering to its policy on stop data and fulfilling the requirements of Negotiated Settlement Agreement (NSA) Task 34, *Vehicle Stops, Field Investigations, and Detentions*.

BACKGROUND

The NSA requires that the Oakland Police Department collect certain data for vehicle stops, field investigations, and detentions; and that the data be entered into a database that can be summarized, searched queried, and reported. In 2004, OPD published Department General Order M-19, *Prohibitions Regarding Racial Profiling and Other Bias-Based Policing*, and report writing manual inserts directing officers to collect the stop data. Until July 2010, stop data was collected on handwritten forms and those forms were sent to a vendor who entered the information into an Access Database. The vendor also provided scanned copies of stop data forms and the images could be viewed in the Database.

The original stop data form was developed by a task force of OPD and City staff, industry experts, and community representatives. The form has undergone a couple of alterations to eliminate confusion on the part of officers collecting the data and improve data quality.

Over the last several years, a number of audits conducted by the first Independent Monitoring Team and Office of Inspector General (OIG) found problems with the stop data program, including data inaccuracies, missing data, and difficulty locating forms. To remedy this situation, a stop data application was added to the Field Based Reporting (FBR) system in February 2010. On June 11, 2010, Special Order 9042 was issued directing all officers to enter stop data directly into FBR. This eliminated illegibility errors and missing data. Also, it has greatly aided in the location of forms by requiring stops and stop data forms to have matching incident numbers or citation numbers.

NEGOTIATED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIREMENTS

Task 34.1

Stop data forms are filled out for every vehicle stop, field investigation, and detention

Task 34.2

Stop data forms include the following information:

- 1) Time
- 2) Location
- 3) Identification of member making stop

- 4) Reason for stop
- 5) Apparent race/ethnicity of individuals stopped
- 6) Gender of individuals stopped
- 7) Outcome of stop (arrest or no arrest)
- 8) Whether a search was conducted
- 9) Outcome of any search
- 10) Offense category (felony, misdemeanor, or infraction)

Task 34.3.1

OPD has a stop data database that can be summarized, searched, queried, and reported by authorized OPD personnel

Task 34.3.2

The data captured on the stop data forms is entered completely and accurately into the database

AUDIT OBJECTIVES

1. Determine if OPD is completing stop data forms for vehicle stops, field investigations, and detentions as required by policy 90% of the time.
2. Determine if the stop data forms include the required information.
3. Determine if stop data can be summarized, searched, queried and reported.
4. Determine if stop data is being accurately and completely entered.

METHODOLOGY

Audit Objective 1

Determine if OPD is completing stop data forms for vehicle stops, field investigations and detentions as required by policy 90% of the time.

The OIG conducted two audits of stop data collection, using different sampling methodologies. To determine if stop data forms were being completed as required, the OIG pulled samples of field contact cards, car and walking stops, and citations. Field contacts, car and walking stops and citations were chosen for the audit because they are the activities that most likely require a stop data form to be completed. For each field contact, car stop, walking stop, and citation in the sample, a search for the stop data form was conducted.

For both audits, the audit period was July 1 – September 30, 2010. At the start of the audit, citation data was only available through September 22, 2010, so eight days of the audit period were missing for citation data. OPD sends citation forms to Alameda County and the County has a vendor (SoftFile) enter the data. OPD receives citation data from SoftFile each month. The data received may not always be complete for an entire month and citations are sometimes entered months after being issued. So, in addition to the eight days of the audit period that were missing, there were likely other citations missing for the audit period because some citations get processed late.

In order to locate stop data forms, OIG conducted three different types of queries. The first query involved entering the incident number or citation number into Forensic Logic. Forensic Logic is the search program that is used to query data in FBR and other databases. A secondary search involved entering other data, such as date and officer serial number, into Forensic Logic. If forms could not be located by Forensic Logic queries, OIG searched FBR directly.

Sampling Methodology 1

The OIG randomly selected six days in each month of the audit period (July, August, and September)¹. For three of the days in each month, the entire population of field contacts and citations were reviewed. For the other three days of each month, the entire population of car and walking stops were reviewed. There were a total of 2089 incidents reviewed. The breakdown of incidents is in the following table.

Sampling Methodology 1 - Population

Dates	Car and Walking stops	Citations	Field Contacts	Total
Jul 1, 19, 26	338			
Aug 12, 19, 27	368			
Sep 12, 19, 26	295			
Jul 9, 20, 21		135	153	
Aug 10, 20, 29		271	172	
Sep 5, 14, 20		142	215	
Totals	1001	548	540	2089

Sampling Methodology 2

The OIG pulled a random proportional sample of all field contacts, citations, and car and walking stops during July, August, and September 2010. There were 5914 field contacts generated and 9335 car and walking stops called into Communications during this time period. There were 5299 citations issued between July 1 and September 22, 2010, based on the most up to date information provided by SoftFile. The total population of all categories was 20,548. Based on a two-tailed test, 584 was the sample needed for a 95% confidence level with an error rate of +/- 4%.

¹ The Research Randomizer was used to generate the random selection of days (<http://www.randomizer.org/>)

A proportional sample was pulled from the three categories: 1) field contacts, 2) citations, and 3) car/walking stops. Each category was over sampled by 10% so that any duplicate incidents could be replaced. The Research Randomizer (<http://www.randomizer.org/>) was used to generate an unsorted random sample for each category, based on the numbers in the following table.

Sampling Methodology 2 – Sample Identification

Incident Type	Population	% of Total Population	Sample based on Proportion of Population	Over sampling of 10%	Sample plus 10%
Field Contacts	5914	29%	169	17	186
Citations	5299	26%	152	15	167
Car and Walking Stops	9335	45%	263	26	289
Total	20,584		584		642

There were 10 car and walking stop incidents that were deselected and replaced. One was deselected because it was a stop conducted by an agency other than OPD. One was deselected because a stop data form was not required (an officer was assisting a citizen with a disabled vehicle). The remaining eight were replaced because they were duplicate incidents of either citation or field contact incidents in the sample.

There were seven Field Contact incidents that were deselected and replaced. One was deselected because it was a duplicate. The others were deselected because stop data forms were not required. For example, a field contact form was completed for information purposes or to document an observation, but not due to a detention or investigation.

There was one citation incident that was deselected and replaced because a stop data form was not required. The officer did not initiate contact or make the initial stop.

Audit Objective 2

Determine if the stop data forms include the required information.

The OIG interviewed the Sergeant in charge of implementing stop data technology to find out the requirements for entering stop data forms into the Field Based Reporting System.

Audit Objective 3

Determine if stop data can be summarized, searched, queried and reported.

The OIG interviewed the Sergeant in charge of implementing stop data technology regarding the history of the system. The OIG also performed tests of the current system to determine the searching, querying, summarizing and reporting capabilities; and reviewed a Forensic Logic report.

Audit Objective 3

Determine if stop data is being accurately and completely entered 85% of the time.

The audit criteria, “stop data is accurately and completely entered into the database,” was developed when stop data forms were manually completed on paper forms and were subsequently entered into a database by an outside vendor. The methodology required that data on stop data forms be compared with data in the stop data database. Since the stop data program has changed, in that officers enter the data directly into FBR, the methodology had to be adjusted.

To assess accuracy, the OIG selected 40 car and walking stop incidents from the sample of 263 car/walking stop incidents and compared the data on the stop data forms to data contained in associated documentation. Although this is only one method of testing for accuracy, it is one way to identify if officers are reporting stop information accurately.

Using a one-tail test, 37 forms had to be reviewed from the 263 car/walking stops for a 95% confidence level with an error rate of +/- 6%. Car and walking stops can result in field contact forms, citations, arrests, detentions, etc., so the audit focused on car and walking stops. Stops resulting in citations were not reviewed because citation information, beyond what was provided to locate a stop data form was not available in time for the review. OIG selectively sampled 40 stop data forms that resulted in a field contact or an arrest, to be assured there would be associated documentation. Fields on the stop data form were compared to the other incident documentation available in FBR.

FINDINGS

Audit Objective 1: Determine if OPD is completing stop data forms for vehicle stops, field investigations and detentions as required by policy 90% of the time.

Sampling Methodology 1

Of the 2,089 incidents reviewed, 1,974 stop data forms were located for a compliance percentage of 94%. The required compliance percentage is 90%. The following tables show the findings by incident type.

Car and Walking Stops

Date	Total Car and Walking stops	Stop Data Forms Located	% of Forms Located
Jul 1, 19, 26	338	320	95%
Aug 12, 19, 27	368	342	93%
Sep 12, 19, 26	295	284	96%
Totals	1001	946	95%

Citations

Date	Total Citations	Stop Data Forms Located	% of Forms Located
Jul 9, 20, 21	135	126	93%
Aug 10, 20, 29	271	261	96%
Sep 5, 14, 20	142	127	89%
Totals	548	514	94%

Field Contacts

Date	Total Field Contacts	Stop Data Forms Located	% of Forms Located
Jul 9, 20, 21	153	141	92%
Aug 10, 20, 29	172	163	95%
Sep 5, 14, 20	215	210	98%
Totals	540	514	95%

Sampling Methodology 2

Of the 584 incidents in the sample, 565 stop data forms were located for a compliance rate of 97%.

	Sample	Stop Data Forms Located	Stop Data Forms Not Located	% of Forms Located
Car and Walking Stops	263	253	10	96%
Citations	152	146	6	96%
Field Contacts	169	166	3	98%
Totals	584	565	19	97%

There were 19 incidents found out of compliance because the primary searches used did not locate the forms. After additional searching, five of the 19 forms were located based on several matching data fields; however, due to discrepancies in some fields they could not be confirmed with absolute certainty. The discrepancies were most likely due to data entry error on the part of the officers entering the information. If the additional five forms located were counted towards compliance, the compliance rate would have been 98%.

Also, there were nine incidents that likely did not require a stop data form because officers were specifically directed to a crime suspect or a citizen(s). The stop data forms for the remaining five out of compliance incidents were not located and it is unclear if forms were required.

The incident numbers entered into FRB were not always complete, which was part of the reason why different types of searches had to be conducted. The incident number is made up of a prefix (LOP) a date string and a unique identifier assigned by the Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) system for each incident. Officers sometimes leave off the prefix and/or

the date string when entering the incident number into FBR. If there are data entry errors with the incident number, other fields have to be used to locate the forms, such as officer serial number, date, location, etc. Data entry errors in any of the other fields made it very difficult to locate forms.

Due to time limitations, OIG was not able to conduct more comprehensive searching of the 124 out of compliance incidents for the sampling methodology 1 audit. However, it is possible that stop data forms were completed, but could not be located using the initial searching methods, or were not required for some of the incidents.

Audit Objective 2: Determine if the stop data forms include the required information.

The stop data form application in Field Based Reporting requires officers to complete all fields, except the field for the RD number, before the form can be finalized and approved by a supervisor. Since the fields are mandatory, finalized and approved forms include the required information. The RD number field is not required, because some stops do not result in an RD number.

Audit Objective 3: Determine if stop data can be summarized, searched, queried and reported.

Between 2004 and June 2010, the Department collected stop data on paper forms, which were subsequently entered into an Access Database. The data were originally entered by OPD staff (not the officers collecting the data) and then by SoftFile (the vendor who also enters citation data for Alameda County). This process resulted in a number of reliability issues with the data. For example, some fields on the forms were blank or illegible and could not be entered into the database. In addition, the stop data forms did not have assigned numbers that could be easily linked to the corresponding incident, thus locating the stop data forms was difficult. For some incidents, it was impossible to determine if a form was in fact completed but could not be located, or if an officer failed to complete a form.

Although there were a number of data reliability issues with the paper form process, the IMT found the Department in compliance with the requirement that OPD has a stop data database that can be summarized, searched, queried, and reported. The Access Database had all the functionality necessary to comply with Task 34.3.1; it could be searched, queried, summarized and reported.

On June 11, 2010, the Department issued Special Order 9042, which required all members to enter stop data directly into FBR. The FBR system has limited searching capabilities, so the Department implemented a web-based search program (Forensic Logic) that allows users to search for stop data forms. Forensic Logic also summarizes data and can create reports.

OPD staff who have been trained to use Forensic Logic can perform basic summaries and reports, that include a series of graphs providing information on stopped persons, for example (see attached reports from Forensic Logic's QuickSearch):

- Number of persons stopped by an officer
- Number and percentage of persons stopped by ethnicity
- Number and percentage of persons stopped by month
- Number and percentage of persons stopped by day
- Number and percentage of persons stopped by beat
- Number and percentage of persons stopped by encounter type
- Result of encounter (i.e. Citation, F.C., Felony arrest), number and percentage

Individual officers can be examined and compared to other individual officers; however a true peer group comparison can not be conducted by OPD staff, without significant manual labor, due to the manner in which stop data information is collected and stored.

At the request of authorized OPD personnel, Forensic Logic personnel can accommodate more complicated requests and provide the Department with summaries and reports. Recently, Forensic Logic provided OPD with a series of graphs comparing information on stop data forms by ethnicity, for example (see attached Forensic Logic PowerPoint report):

- Number of persons stopped by ethnicity
- Number and percentage of stops resulting in searches by ethnicity
- Number and percentage of stops resulting in felony arrests by ethnicity
- Number and percentage of arrests resulting from searches by ethnicity
- Number and percentage of searches resulting in citations by ethnicity

The Department is currently implementing SpeedTrack, a program that offers additional summarizing and reporting functionality. The system is in use, but in the developmental stage to ensure the needs of the Department are incorporated in the system.

The Department is also reviewing the stop data form to identify better ways to capture the data that will help with data accuracy. For example, in the result of encounter field, officers can only choose one result. The data would be more accurate if the officer could choose multiple results, if there is more than one result. One incident may result in a person being arrested, another person being issued a citation, and a field contact form. Finally, the Department is in the process of integrating the stop data application and the field contact application in FBR to increase efficiency in data entry and in locating forms.

Audit Objective 4: Determine if stop data is being accurately and completely entered 85% of the time.

Of the 40 stop data forms reviewed for accuracy, there were 24 forms reviewed that resulted in a field contact and the associated field contact report was reviewed. There

were 16 forms reviewed that resulted in an arrest (5 misdemeanor arrests and 11 felony arrests), and the associated crime report and/or arrest report was reviewed.

The fields on the stop data forms routinely matched the data on the associated documentation. There were some discrepancies with the “time” field, most likely due to the time of data entry of the form during or after an incident. In most cases the time on the stop data form was close to the time on the associated documentation. The time field automatically populates at the time of data entry, but can be over written by the officer. Given that incidents take time, and the stop and other actions during the incident don’t all occur at the exact same time, it is expected that there will be discrepancies in the “time” field. There was one incident in which the time on the stop data form was about seven hours later than the time recorded on the associated documentation, which probably reflects the data entry time versus the stop time for an officer who completed data entry at the end of the shift.

The findings indicate that officers are routinely entering accurate stop information. However, there are other tests that can be used to provide additional assurance that stop data forms are accurately entered into the stop data application in FBR, for example comparisons with CAD reports for each incident. A more comprehensive assessment of stop data form accuracy will be conducted in future audits.

Stop data forms were complete, as discussed in Audit Objective 2 findings.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. The audit determined the complete CAD incident number consisting of the “LOP” prefix, complete date string and entire six digit incident number (LOP 100810 000835) as the single most reliable way to locate and identify a stop data form in both Forensic Logic and FBR systems. An Information Bulletin should be published to reinforce the requirement for the use of the complete CAD incident number on the stop data form as required by policy.
2. Since there may be more than one result of encounter during a stop, the Department should change the stop data form application in FBR to allow for multiple results to be selected.
3. The Bureau of Field Operations should conduct regular reviews of stop data accuracy by comparing data on the stop data forms to other incident documentation (CAD purges, crime reports, arrest reports, field contact reports).

CONCLUSION

The Oakland Police Department is in compliance with Task 34. The audit determined officers are completing stop data forms when required; the forms contain all required

information; the data can be searched, queried, summarized and reported; and forms appear to be accurate. The Department has demonstrated significant improvement with Task 34 *Vehicle Stops, Field Investigations, and Detentions*.















Results for Best guess matches for 8471
 Document Type: "STOPPED PERSON"
 Agency: "OAKLAND PD"
 which resulted in 180 results


Modify Search

remove this filter
 remove this filter
 clear all search filters

Select attributes to chart:

All (requires Firefox, Chrome or a fast computer)





- 2010-03-20 2
- 2010-04-02 1
- 2010-04-20 7
- 2010-04-21 3
- 2010-04-22 1
- 2010-04-23 1
- 2010-05-05 1
- 2010-05-20 4
- 2010-05-27 3
- 2010-06-02 3
- 2010-06-03 2
- 2010-06-05 2
- 2010-06-06 3
- 2010-06-08 1
- 2010-06-18 2
- 2010-06-18 2
- 2010-06-17 4
- 2010-06-23 2
- 2010-06-26 1
- 2010-06-28 1
- 2010-07-02 2
- 2010-07-07 5
- 2010-07-15 9
- 2010-07-23 7
- 2010-07-29 5
- 2010-07-31 4
- 2010-08-10 2
- 2010-08-14 3
- 2010-08-16 6
- 2010-08-20 2
- 2010-08-25 2
- 2010-08-28 3
- 2010-09-03 2
- 2010-09-10 9
- 2010-09-20 9
- 2010-09-21 3
- 2010-09-24 2
- 2010-10-07 3
- 2010-10-08 2
- 2010-10-11 7
- 2010-10-14 9
- 2010-10-15 3
- 2010-10-24 8
- 2010-10-28 4
- 2010-11-03 4
- 2010-11-04 3
- 2010-11-11 3
- 2010-11-12 5
- 2010-11-18 3
- 2010-11-28 2

only showing top 50 (show more)


Search for any checked value



BICYCLE
 OTHER
 PEDESTRIAN
 VEHICLE

- BICYCLE 9
- OTHER 0
- PEDESTRIAN 02
- VEHICLE 80

Search for any checked value



CRIM...
 CRIM...
 CRIM...
 CRIM...
 CRIM...
 CRIM...

- CRIMINAL-FELONY 21
- CRIMINAL-INFRACTION 44
- CRIMINAL-INFRACTION,CRIMINAL-PROBATION/PAROLE 3
- CRIMINAL-MISDEMEANOR 30
- CRIMINAL-MISDEMEANOR,CRIMINAL-INFRACTION 3
- CRIMINAL-MISDEMEANOR,CRIMINAL-PROBATION/PAROLE 3
- CRIMINAL-PROBATION/PAROLE 2
- CRIMINAL-PROBATION/PAROLE,OTHER-CONSENSUAL 1
- OTHER-\$150 3
- OTHER-CONSENSUAL 18
- OTHER-TRUANT 1
- TRAFFIC-MECHANICAL/REGISTRATION 33
- TRAFFIC-MOVING 16
- TRAFFIC-PEDESTRIAN VIOLATION 3

Search for any checked value



CITAT...
 F.C.
 FELONY
 MISD...
 NO AC...
 PSYC...
 WARN...

- CITATION 80
- F.C. 89
- FELONY ARREST 12
- MISDEMEANOR ARREST 12
- NO ACTION 13
- PSYCHIATRIC DETENTION 3
- WARNING 21

Search for any checked value

Result of search



NARC...
 OTHER...

- NARCOTICS 7
- OTHER EVIDENCE 3

Search for any checked value

Officeno



8007
 8214
 8257
 8411
 8485
 8648

- 8007 2
- 8214 2
- 8257 3
- 8411 128
- 8485 13
- 8648 6
- 8814 2
- 8823P 6
- 8704 2
- 8712 4
- 8713 1
- 8718 2
- 8774 8
- 8787 9
- 8792 1
- 8940 3

Search for any checked value

Source System



VISION

- VISIONTEK AT OAKLAND PD 190

Search for any checked value

Access Control Label



OR...
 CA...

- ORI CA0010900_VisionTEK 190














Search for any checked value

Results for Best guess matches for 8411
 Document Type: "STOPPED PERSON"
 Person Gender: "MALE"
 Agency: "OAKLAND PD"
 ... which resulted in 140 results

remove this filter
 remove this filter
 remove this filter
 clear all search filters


Select attributes to chart:

All (requires Filter, Choice or a fast complete)



- 2010-06 15
- 2010-07 26
- 2010-08 12
- 2010-09 24
- 2010-10 32
- 2010-11 15


Search for any checked value



- 2010-03-20 2
- 2010-04-02 1
- 2010-04-20 4
- 2010-04-21 3
- 2010-04-22 1
- 2010-04-23 1
- 2010-05-05 1
- 2010-05-20 2
- 2010-05-27 1
- 2010-08-02 2
- 2010-08-03 1
- 2010-08-08 3
- 2010-08-16 1
- 2010-08-18 2
- 2010-08-17 1
- 2010-08-23 2
- 2010-08-25 1
- 2010-08-29 1
- 2010-08-30 1
- 2010-07-07 4
- 2010-07-14 1
- 2010-07-15 5
- 2010-07-16 1
- 2010-07-23 7
- 2010-07-29 4
- 2010-07-31 4
- 2010-08-10 2
- 2010-08-19 4
- 2010-08-20 2
- 2010-08-26 2
- 2010-08-28 2
- 2010-09-02 1
- 2010-08-03 2
- 2010-09-08 1
- 2010-09-10 7
- 2010-08-17 1
- 2010-08-20 8
- 2010-08-21 2
- 2010-08-24 2
- 2010-10-07 3
- 2010-10-08 2
- 2010-10-11 6
- 2010-10-14 5
- 2010-10-15 3
- 2010-10-24 6
- 2010-10-28 3
- 2010-11-11 2
- 2010-11-12 4
- 2010-11-19 3
- 2010-11-29 2

only showing top 80 (show more)

Search for any checked value



- BICYCLE
- OTHER
- PEDE...
- VEHIC...

- BICYCLE 8
- OTHER 4
- PEDESTRIAN 74
- VEHICLE 54

Search for any checked value



- CRIMINAL-FELONY
- CRIMINAL-INFRACTION
- CRIMINAL-MISDEMEANOR
- CRIMINAL-PROBATION/PAROLE
- OTHER-6150
- OTHER-CONSENSUAL
- TRAFFIC-MECHANICAL/REGISTRATION
- TRAFFIC-MOVING
- TRAFFIC-PEDESTRIAN VIOLATION

- CRIMINAL-FELONY 15
- CRIMINAL-INFRACTION 39
- CRIMINAL-INFRACTION, CRIMINAL-PROBATION/PAROLE 3
- CRIMINAL-MISDEMEANOR 32
- CRIMINAL-MISDEMEANOR, CRIMINAL-INFRACTION 3
- CRIMINAL-MISDEMEANOR, CRIMINAL-PROBATION/PAROLE 3
- CRIMINAL-PROBATION/PAROLE 2
- OTHER-6150 2
- OTHER-CONSENSUAL 10
- TRAFFIC-MECHANICAL/REGISTRATION 18
- TRAFFIC-MOVING 10
- TRAFFIC-PEDESTRIAN VIOLATION 2

Search for any checked value

Result of encounter



- CITAT
- F.C.
- FELONY ARREST
- MISD.
- NO ACTION
- WARNIN

- CITATION 44
- F.C. 59
- FELONY ARREST 8
- MISDEMEANOR ARREST 8
- NO ACTION 7
- WARNING 14

Search for any checked value

Result of search



- NARC.
- OTHER EVIDE...

- NARCOTICS 8
- OTHER EVIDENCE 2

Search for any checked value

Officer no



- 8007
- 8314
- 8287
- 8411
- 8465
- 8549

- 8007 2
- 8214 1
- 8287 2
- 8411 02
- 8465 10
- 8548 8
- 8623P 4
- 8712 4
- 8718 2
- 8774 7
- 8787 8
- 8948 3

Search for any checked value

Source System



- VISIONTEK

- VISIONTEK AT OAKLAND PD 140

Search for any checked value

Access Control Label



- ORI CA0010800

- ORI CA0010800_VisionTEK 140

Search for any checked value







Results for Best guess matches for 8411 [Modify Search](#)


Person Race: "HISPANIC"
Document Type: "STOPPED PERSON"
Person Gender: "MALE"
Agency: "OAKLAND PD"
... which resulted in 20 results

remove this filter
remove this filter
remove this filter
remove this filter
clear all search filters

Select attributes to chart:

All (requires Firefox, Chrome or a fast computer)

<p>Agency</p>  <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OAKLAND PD 20 Search for any checked value</p>	<p>Document Type</p>  <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> STOPPED PERSON 20 Search for any checked value</p>	<p>Incident Year</p>  <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2010 20 Search for any checked value</p>
<p>Incident Month</p>  <p><input type="checkbox"/> 05 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 08 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 07 5 <input type="checkbox"/> 08 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 09 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 10 6 <input type="checkbox"/> 11 2 Search for any checked value</p>	<p>Incident Day Of Week</p>  <p><input type="checkbox"/> Fri 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Mon 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Sun 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Thu 7 <input type="checkbox"/> Wed 4 Search for any checked value</p>	<p>Incident Day Of Month</p>  <p><input type="checkbox"/> 02 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 03 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 07 6 <input type="checkbox"/> 11 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 15 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 20 5 <input type="checkbox"/> 24 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 26 1 Search for any checked value</p>
<p>Incident Hour Of Day</p>  <p><input type="checkbox"/> 02 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 11 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 12 5 <input type="checkbox"/> 17 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 18 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 19 8 <input type="checkbox"/> 20 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 21 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 23 1 Search for any checked value</p>	<p>Incident Beat</p>  <p><input type="checkbox"/> 02Y 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 30X 8 <input type="checkbox"/> 31Y 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 32X 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 33X 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 34X 5 Search for any checked value</p>	<p>Person Gender</p>  <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> MALE 20 Search for any checked value</p>
<p>Person Age</p>  <p><input type="checkbox"/> 18-20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30-39 <input type="checkbox"/> 40 OR OVER <input type="checkbox"/> UNDER 18</p>	<p>Person Involvement</p>	<p>Person Race</p>  <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> HISPANIC 20 Search for any checked value</p> <p>Involvement/Gender</p>



COVER OFFIC...
 NOT P...
 PRIM...
 REPO...
 REPO...
 REVIE...
 STCP...

- COVER OFFICER 17
- NOT PRIMARY STOPPED PERSON 9
- PRIMARY STOPPED PERSON 20
- REPORTING OFFICER 20
- REPORTING OFFICER'S SUPERVISOR 20
- REVIEWING OFFICER 10
- STOPPED 20


Search for any checked value



MALE
 NOT
 PRIM...
 MALE
 PRIM...

- MALE NOT PRIMARY STOPPED PERSON 9
- MALE PRIMARY STOPPED PERSON 11

Search for any checked value




HISPAN...
 HISPAN...

- HISPANIC NOT PRIMARY STOPPED PERSON 9
- HISPANIC PRIMARY STOPPED PERSON 11

Search for any checked value

Incident Yyyy Mm



2010-06
 2010-08
 2010-07
 2010-09
 2010-09
 2010-10
 2010-11

- 2010-05 1
- 2010-08 1
- 2010-07 5
- 2010-08 1
- 2010-09 4
- 2010-10 6
- 2010-11 2

Search for any checked value

Incident Yyyy Mm Dd



2010-...
 2010-...
 2010-...
 2010-...
 2010-...
 2010-...

- 2010-06-20 1
- 2010-08-03 1
- 2010-07-07 4
- 2010-07-15 1
- 2010-08-20 1
- 2010-09-02 1
- 2010-09-20 3
- 2010-10-07 2
- 2010-10-24 4
- 2010-11-11 1
- 2010-11-26 1

Search for any checked value

Encountertype



BICYC...
 PEDE...
 VEHIC...

- BICYCLE 1
- PEDESTRIAN 5
- VEHICLE 14

Search for any checked value

Reasonforencounter




CRIML...
 CRIML...
 CRIML...
 OTHE...
 TRAF...
 TRAF...

- CRIMINAL-FELONY 6
- CRIMINAL-INFRACTION 5
- CRIMINAL-MISDEMEANOR 2
- OTHER-CONSENSUAL 1
- TRAFFIC-MECHANICAL/REGISTRATION 6
- TRAFFIC-MOVING 2

Search for any checked value

Resultofencounter




CITAT...
 F.C.
 MISD...

- CITATION 11
- F.C. 8
- MISDEMEANOR ARREST 1

Search for any checked value

Resultofsearch



NARC...

- NARCOTICS 2

Search for any checked value

Officerno



8411
 8823P
 8774
 8787

- 8411 12
- 8823P 4
- 8774 1
- 8787 3

Search for any checked value

Source System




VISIO...

- VISIONTEK AT OAKLAND PD 20

Search for any checked value

Access Control Label



ORI CA001...

- ORI CA0010900_VisionTEK 20

Search for any checked value

Results for Basigues matches for 8411 [Modify Search](#)















Remove this Site
Remove this Site
Remove this Site
Clear all results

Person Race: "BLACK"
Document Type: "STOPPED PERSON"
Agency: "OAKLAND PD"

... which resulted in 151 results

Select attributes to chart:

All (requires Firefox, Chrome or a fast computer)





Results for Best guess matches for 8411

Modify Search

Document Type: "STOPPED PERSON"

Incident Beat: "02Y"

Agency: "OAKLAND PD"

... which resulted in 8 results

remove this filter

remove this filter


remove this filter

clear all search filters

Select attributes to chart:

All (requires Firefox, Chrome or a fast computer)

Agency




OAKLAND PD 8

OAKLAND PD 8

Search for any checked value

Document Type




STOPPED PERSON 8

STOPPED PERSON 8

Search for any checked value

Incident Year




2010 8

2010 8

Search for any checked value

Incident Month




10 8

10 8

Search for any checked value

Incident Day Of Week




Sun 8

Sun 8

Search for any checked value

Incident Day Of Month




24 8

24 8

Search for any checked value

Incident Hour Of Day



11 4
12 4

11 4

12 4

Search for any checked value

Incident Beat




02Y 8

02Y 8

Search for any checked value

Person Gender




FEMALE 2
MALE 6

FEMALE 2

MALE 6

Search for any checked value

Person Race




BLACK 2
HISPANIC 6

BLACK 2

HISPANIC 6

Search for any checked value

Person Age




18-29 7
UNDER 18 1

18-29 7

UNDER 18 1

Search for any checked value

Person Involvement



COVER OFFICER 8
NOT PRIMARY STOPPED PERSON 5
PRIMARY STOPPED PERSON 8
REPORTING OFFICER 8
REPORTING OFFICER'S SUPERVISOR 8
STOPPED 8

COVER OFFICER 8

NOT PRIMARY STOPPED PERSON 5


PRIMARY STOPPED PERSON 8

REPORTING OFFICER 8

REPORTING OFFICER'S SUPERVISOR 8

STOPPED 8


involvement/race



- BLACK NOT PRIM...
- BLACK PRIM...
- HISPANIC NOT PRIM...
- HISPANIC PRIM...

- BLACK NOT PRIMARY STOPPED PERSON 1
 - BLACK PRIMARY STOPPED PERSON 1
 - HISPANIC NOT PRIMARY STOPPED PERSON 4
 - HISPANIC PRIMARY STOPPED PERSON 2
-


Incident Yyyy Mm



2010-10

- 2010-10 8
-


Incident Yyyy Mm Dd



2010-10-24

- 2010-10-24 8
-


Encountertype



VEHIC...

- VEHICLE 8
-


Reasonforencounteroth



TRAF...
 TRAF...

- TRAFFIC-MECHANICAL/REGISTRATION, 8
 - TRAFFIC-MOVING, 2
-


Resultofencounter



CITAT...

- CITATION 8
-


Resultofsearch



NARC...

- NARCOTICS, 4
-


Officerno



8411

- 8411 8
-


Source System



VISIO...


- VISIONTEK AT OAKLAND PD 8
-

Access Control Label




ORI CA001...

- ORI CA0010900_VisionTEK 8
-




Number of Persons Stopped by OPD July 1, 2010 - November 15, 2010




	White	Other	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Middle Eastern	Pacific Islander	Native American
■ Jul-10	567	180	1,139	4,130	247	29	28	10
■ Aug-10	813	240	1,457	4,369	353	40	44	9
■ Sep-10	741	214	1,264	3,941	345	36	30	6
■ Oct-10	732	215	1,279	3,895	250	23	27	8
■ Nov-10	297	83	540	1,856	111	17	15	2





Searches by Race - OPD July 1 - November 15, 2010




	White	Other	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Middle Eastern:	Pacific Islander	Native American
■ Jul-10	172	39	378	1,815	54	2	7	5
■ Aug-10	154	38	422	1,759	79	2	18	6
■ Sep-10	150	26	397	1,603	59	6	8	5
■ Oct-10	172	42	430	1,685	48	3	4	2
■ Nov-10	73	15	144	740	26	4	9	1






Percent of Stops resulting in Searches by Race July 1 - November 15, 2010




	White	Other	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Middle Eastern	Pacific Islander	Native American
Jul-10	20%	22%	33%	44%	22%	7%	25%	50%
Aug-10	19%	16%	29%	40%	22%	5%	41%	67%
Sep-10	20%	12%	31%	41%	17%	17%	27%	83%
Oct-10	23%	20%	34%	43%	19%	13%	15%	25%
Nov-10	25%	18%	27%	40%	23%	24%	60%	50%







Number OPD Stops resulting in Felony Arrests July 1, 2010 - November 15, 2010




	White	Other	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Middle Eastern	Pacific Islander	Native American
Jul-10	37	7	53	421	9	1	3	-
Aug-10	36	8	92	456	23	-	4	1
Sep-10	29	1	69	437	14	3	4	2
Oct-10	25	9	77	448	14	1	1	-
Nov-10	11	1	21	190	5	2	9	-




Percent Stops resulting in Felony Arrests July 1, 2010 - November 15, 2010



	White	Other	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Middle Eastern	Pacific Islander	Native American
■ Jul-10	7%	4%	5%	10%	4%	3%	11%	0%
■ Aug-10	4%	3%	6%	10%	7%	0%	9%	11%
■ Sep-10	4%	0%	5%	11%	4%	8%	13%	33%
■ Oct-10	3%	4%	6%	12%	6%	4%	4%	0%
■ Nov-10	4%	1%	4%	10%	5%	12%	60%	0%




Felony Arrests resulting from Searches July 1, 2010 - November 15, 2010




	White	Other	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Middle Eastern	Pacific Islander	Native American
Jul-10	36	7	46	402	8	1	3	-
Aug-10	30	8	88	430	22	-	4	1
Sep-10	27	1	69	412	14	3	4	2
Oct-10	23	8	73	425	14	1	1	-
Nov-10	10	1	20	183	5	2	6	-






Percent Felony Arrests from Searches July 1, 2010 - November 15, 2010



	White	Other	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Middle Eastern	Pacific Islander	Native American
Jul-10	21%	18%	12%	22%	15%	50%	43%	0%
Aug-10	19%	21%	21%	24%	28%	0%	22%	17%
Sep-10	18%	4%	17%	26%	24%	50%	50%	40%
Oct-10	13%	19%	17%	25%	29%	33%	25%	0%
Nov-10	14%	7%	14%	25%	19%	50%	67%	0%




Misdemeanor Arrests from Stops July 1, 2010 - November 15, 2010




	White	Other	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Middle Eastern	Pacific Islander	Native American
Jul-10	44	12	85	280	6	-	-	1
Aug-10	34	15	71	282	18	2	2	3
Sep-10	50	10	78	241	11	-	1	2
Oct-10	51	8	113	256	5	-	-	1
Nov-10	19	5	29	124	3	1	-	-







Percent Misdemeanor Arrests from Stops July 1, 2010 - November 15, 2010



	White	Other	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Middle Eastern	Pacific Islander	Native American
Jul-10	8%	7%	7%	7%	2%	0%	0%	10%
Aug-10	4%	6%	5%	6%	5%	5%	5%	33%
Sep-10	7%	5%	6%	6%	3%	0%	3%	33%
Oct-10	7%	4%	9%	7%	2%	0%	0%	13%
Nov-10	6%	6%	5%	7%	3%	6%	0%	0%



Misdemeanor Arrests from Search July 1, 2010 - November 15, 2010




	White	Other	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Middle Eastern	Pacific Islander	Native American
■ Jul-10	39	10	76	243	3	-	-	1
■ Aug-10	26	13	64	246	17	1	2	3
■ Sep-10	42	9	73	216	10	-	1	1
■ Oct-10	47	7	96	212	5	-	-	1
■ Nov-10	17	5	29	116	3	1	-	-






Percent Misdemeanor Arrests from Search July 1, 2010 - November 15, 2010




	White	Other	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Middle Eastern	Pacific Islander	Native American
Jul-10	23%	26%	20%	13%	6%	0%	0%	20%
Aug-10	17%	34%	15%	14%	22%	50%	11%	50%
Sep-10	28%	35%	18%	13%	17%	0%	13%	20%
Oct-10	27%	17%	22%	13%	10%	0%	0%	50%
Nov-10	23%	33%	20%	16%	12%	25%	0%	0%






Total Felony and Misdemeanor Arrests from Stops July 1, 2010 - November 15, 2010




	White	Other	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Middle Eastern/Pacific Islander	Native American
Jul-10	81	19	138	701	15	1	3
Aug-10	70	23	163	738	41	2	6
Sep-10	79	11	147	678	25	3	5
Oct-10	76	17	190	704	19	1	1
Nov-10	30	6	50	314	8	3	9






Percent Stops resulting in Misdemeanor and Felony Arrests July 1, 2010 - November 15, 2010




	White	Other	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Middle Eastern	Pacific Islander	Native American
Jul-10	14%	11%	12%	17%	6%	3%	11%	10%
Aug-10	9%	10%	11%	17%	12%	5%	14%	44%
Sep-10	11%	5%	12%	17%	7%	8%	17%	67%
Oct-10	10%	8%	15%	18%	8%	4%	4%	13%
Nov-10	10%	7%	9%	17%	7%	18%	60%	0%






Total Number of Misdemeanor and Felony Arrests from Searches July 1, 2010 - November 15, 2010




Month	White	Other	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Middle Eastern	Pacific Islander	Native American
Jul-10	75	17	122	645	11	1	3	1
Aug-10	56	21	152	676	39	1	6	4
Sep-10	69	10	142	628	24	3	5	3
Oct-10	70	15	169	637	19	1	1	1
Nov-10	27	6	49	299	8	3	6	-




Percent Searches resulting in Misdemeanor and Felony Arrests

July 1, 2010 - November 15, 2010




	White	Other	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Middle Eastern	Pacific Islander	Native American
Jul-10	44%	44%	32%	36%	20%	50%	43%	20%
Aug-10	36%	55%	36%	38%	49%	50%	33%	67%
Sep-10	46%	38%	36%	39%	41%	50%	63%	60%
Oct-10	41%	36%	39%	38%	40%	33%	25%	50%
Nov-10	37%	40%	34%	40%	31%	75%	67%	0%







Citations issued from Stops July 1, 2010 - November 15, 2010




	White	Other	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Middle Eastern	Pacific Islander	Native American
Jul-10	265	128	657	1,844	147	20	21	8
Aug-10	456	172	932	2,087	189	29	22	1
Sep-10	362	153	741	1,735	220	22	17	1
Oct-10	337	122	685	1,441	144	8	15	2
Nov-10	133	59	330	737	64	8	2	1




Percent Stops resulting in Citations issued July 1, 2010 - November 15, 2010




	White	Other	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Middle Eastern	Pacific Islander	Native American
Jul-10	47%	71%	58%	45%	60%	69%	75%	80%
Aug-10	56%	72%	64%	48%	54%	73%	50%	11%
Sep-10	49%	71%	59%	44%	64%	61%	57%	17%
Oct-10	46%	57%	54%	37%	58%	35%	56%	25%
Nov-10	45%	71%	61%	40%	58%	47%	13%	50%




Citations issued as a result of Search July 1, 2010 - November 15, 2010



	White	Other	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Middle Eastern	Pacific Islander	Native American
Jul-10	25	10	88	370	16	1	2	3
Aug-10	32	13	116	386	6	-	3	-
Sep-10	17	5	92	325	12	1	-	1
Oct-10	15	6	66	227	3	1	-	1
Nov-10	7	2	18	79	4	1	-	-



Percent Searches resulting in Citations issued July 1, 2010 - November 15, 2010




	White	Other	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Middle Eastern	Pacific Islander	Native American
Jul-10	15%	26%	23%	20%	30%	50%	29%	60%
Aug-10	21%	34%	27%	22%	8%	0%	17%	0%
Sep-10	11%	19%	23%	20%	20%	17%	0%	20%
Oct-10	9%	14%	15%	13%	6%	33%	0%	50%
Nov-10	10%	13%	13%	11%	15%	25%	0%	0%






Number of Consent Searches July 1, 2010 - November 15, 2010




	White	Other	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Middle Eastern	Pacific Islander	Native American
Jul-10	14	2	23	108	4	2	-	-
Aug-10	8	2	29	83	2	-	-	-
Sep-10	13	3	35	82	3	-	-	-
Oct-10	21	2	36	109	6	1	-	-
Nov-10	5	-	22	47	3	1	-	-






Percent of Consent Searches of All Searches July 1, 2010 - November 15, 2010




	White	Other	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Middle Eastern	Pacific Islander	Native American	Middle Eastern Pacific Islander	Native American
Jul-10	8%	5%	6%	6%	7%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Aug-10	5%	5%	7%	5%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Sep-10	9%	12%	9%	5%	5%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Oct-10	12%	5%	8%	6%	13%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov-10	7%	0%	15%	6%	12%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%






Stops resulting in Warnings July 1, 2010 - November 15, 2010




	White	Other	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Middle Eastern	Pacific Islander	Native American
Jul-10	43	3	75	230	17	2	-	1
Aug-10	49	7	72	196	35	1	1	-
Sep-10	50	6	43	172	14	3	-	-
Oct-10	49	14	43	136	15	2	-	1
Nov-10	18	2	17	69	9	-	1	-



Percent Stops resulting in Warnings by Race

July 1, 2010 - November 15, 2010



	White	Other	Hispanic	Black	Asian	Middle Eastern	Pacific Islander	Native American	Native American
■ Jul-10	8%	2%	7%	6%	7%	7%	0%	0%	10%
■ Aug-10	6%	3%	5%	4%	10%	3%	2%	0%	0%
■ Sep-10	7%	3%	3%	4%	4%	8%	0%	0%	0%
■ Oct-10	7%	7%	3%	3%	6%	9%	0%	0%	13%
■ Nov-10	6%	2%	3%	4%	8%	0%	7%	0%	0%

